

## **General Frequently Asked Questions**

### **SCE's Solicitation**

**Question 1: What is the cure process?**

Answer 1: The cure process allows an Offeror to cure certain non-conforming information or uploaded documents from their Offer, provided the Offeror timely submitted such Offer.

**Question 2: How do I know if I need to cure anything and what I need to cure?**

Answer 2: SCE will issue a cure notice via email to applicable Offerors identifying the information or documentation such Offeror must cure and the deadline by which such cure must be completed.

**Question 3: What happens if I fail to comply with a cure notice?**

Answer 3: Failure to comply with the requirements of any cure notice may result in such Offer being disqualified from further consideration in the Solicitation.

**Question 4: Does the cure process allow bidders to augment their Offer's energy efficiency component of their bid?**

Answer 4: No, the cure process allows bidders to cure only minor deficiencies (e.g., certain omissions, such as failure to fill out or execute the representation form, failure to provide the participant's company address, failure to provide a complete primary point of contact information).

**Question 5: Is the cure process managed consistently across all Offerors?**

Answer 5: Yes, SCE applies the cure process consistently. The cure process is a standard process used in solicitation activities regularly at SCE and includes close coordination with the Independent Evaluator.

**Question 6: What is the background behind the third-party and Statewide procurement requirements?**

Answer 6: On August 25, 2016, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) issued Decision (D.) 16-08-019 Providing Guidance for Initial Energy Efficiency Rolling Portfolio Business Plan Filings. The Decision addressed the transition for statewide and third-party programs, among other requirements. The Decision defined the terms "statewide" and "third-party," as well as, established procurement requirements. Per D.16-08-019, Statewide efforts are required to comprise of at least 25%, on a budget basis, of each utility program administrator's portfolio, and utility administrators are required to maintain that 20%, up to 60%, of the utility's total portfolio budget for its EE programs be designed and delivered by third parties. Commission Decisions 18-01-004 and 18-05-041 subsequently modified the third-party requirement. Currently, utility program administrators are to have at least 25% of their 2020 program year forecast

budgets under contract by third parties by no later than December 19, 2019, and at least 40% and 60% under contract by December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2021, respectively.

**Question 7: What is the role of the Procurement Review Group (PRG) in the solicitation process?**

Answer 7: Each IOU has its own EE PRG charged with overseeing the IOU's EE program procurement process, reviewing procedural fairness, examining overall procurement prudence, and providing feedback during all stages.

**Question 8: How does SCE interact with the PRG?**

Answer 8: Each IOU will brief its EE PRG on a periodic basis throughout the Solicitation process. This includes language development, proposal evaluation, and contract negotiation. Please note that revealing confidential proposal information to the EE PRG is required during EE PRG briefings. Bidders must clearly identify as part of its proposal which information is confidential.

**Question 9: What is the two-stage solicitation process?**

Answer 9: The Solicitation is composed two-stages: Stage One – Request for Abstracts, and Stage Two – Request for Proposals.

During Stage One, SCE is looking for a broad spectrum of program ideas.

During Stage Two of this process, SCE will invite shortlisted Stage One participants to provide a comprehensive description of their program design, implementation strategies, a cost-effective analysis, as well as other operational details.

**Question 10: Can SCE provide examples of what mutually inclusive and mutually exclusive means?**

Answer 10: Offers designated as mutually inclusive indicates that the Offers are being packaged together and must be considered during valuation as a package Offer. Offers designated as mutually exclusive indicates that the Offers may not be selected at the same time.

Example 1:

Offer 1 is for a three-year Delivery Period commencing on January 1, 2021. Offer 2 is for a three-year Delivery Period commencing on January 1, 2021. Offeror indicates that Offer 1 and Offer 2 are mutually exclusive, which means that SCE can only select one Offer, SCE may not select both Offers.

Example 2:

Company X proposes 3 offers. Offer 1 targets Residential sector. Offer 2 targets Commercial sector, and Offer 3 targets both Residential and Commercial. Offer 3 is the mutually inclusive offer set of Offer 1 and 2. Offers 1 and 2 are mutually exclusive of Offer 3.

**Question 11:** If an Offeror has two distinct program designs (e.g., one for one sector and one for another sector) can they be submitted under the same RFA response, the same Offer Workbook?

Answer 11: No, unless the two designs are mutually inclusive (e.g. SCE must select both Offers), the Offers should be submitted separately. Program designs submitted under the same RFA response will be reviewed together as single Offer. The Offer Workbooks should denote whether or not Offers are:

1. Mutually inclusive, where SCE must select both Offers,
2. Mutually exclusive, where SCE may not select both Offers together; or
3. Neither mutually inclusive nor mutually exclusive, where SCE may select any combination of Offers.

**Question 12:** Do Offerors have to include how much Offerors and Customers want to be compensated per kWh saved or is this determined by SCE?

Answer 12: These amounts are determined by the Offeror.

**Question 13:** Will SCE be providing a list of contacts that are on the bidder's conference call-in order to find other companies to form a team?

Answer 13: No, SCE will not be providing a list of bidder's conference participants.

**Question 14:** If multiple market participants decide to collaborate, how many RFAs do they need to submit?

Answer 14: Only one market participant should submit the Offer, which can include multiple participants. The primary market participant, as Offeror, is required to disclose whether or not it has collaborated with any third party and provide a list of such third parties in Offeror's Offer Workbook, in accordance with the Solicitation Instructions.

**Question 15:** Must a market participant submit an Offer into this RFA in order to be eligible to submit an Offer in the RFP?

Answer 15: Yes. An Offeror must participate in this RFA, submit an Abstract, and then also be shortlisted in order to receive an invitation to participate in the corresponding RFP.

**Question 16:** If market participants propose partnerships in the RFA stage and the partnership falls through, will Offerors' participation information be protected?

Answer 16: All Offers are confidential in accordance with the non-disclosure agreement executed between SCE and such Offeror.

**Question 17:** Are Offerors going to get compensated for the work they put in in order to put together the RFA?

Answer 17: No, Offerors are not compensated for work done in the development of Abstracts and/or Proposals.

**Question 18: Are there bonding requirements?**

Answer 18: Yes. For bonding requirements, please see Attachment A of [D. 18-10-008, Decision Addressing Workforce Requirements and Third-Party Contract Terms and Conditions](#).

**Question 19: What does SCE mean by Pacific Prevailing Time (PPT)?**

Answer 19: Pacific Prevailing Time is either Pacific Standard Time (PST) or Pacific Daylight Time (PDT), as applicable on the day in question. For example, California switched from PST to PDT on March 10, 2019 at 2:00am. Prior to that date, PST is PPT. After that date, PDT is PPT.

**Question 20: What is the 12 month period that my performance will be measured on?**

Answer 20: Performance will be based on a calendar year basis.

For example: Vendor A signs a contract for PY 2020 on 9/30/2020. Vendor A would only have Q4 2020 to deliver its PY 2020 obligations.

**Question 21: How familiar must an Offeror be with the Cost Effectiveness Tool (CET) and/or the California Energy Data and Reporting System (CEDARS) website?**

Answer 21: Currently, CET/CEDARS cost effectiveness evaluations are not required for the RFA phase, however, they will be required at the RFP phase. SCE encourages Offerors to review the CEDARS website (<https://cedars.sound-data.com/>) and its CET tutorials. Public CET/CEDARS workshops from 2018 and 2019 are posted on the PEPMA website (<https://www.pepma-ca.com/Public/PublicEvents.aspx?type=1>). Should Offerors have any additional questions related to CET/CEDARS, they may be submitted to SCE via the question and response processes outlined in their respective RFA/RFP Instructions.

**Question 22: Can Offerors download past EE submissions data from CEDARS?**

Answer 22: Yes, past and current EE submissions data is available on CEDARS. Note that EE submissions data includes program implementation plans, budgets, goals, and performance data, but not any information related to Solicitations or Offers.

**Question 23: Will SCE be approving Offerors' registration to CEDARS in order for Offerors to access past EE submissions data?**

Answer 23: No. When registering to CEDARS, Participants must choose "Community" under the "Affiliation" drop down menu, which does not require SCE's approval.

**Question 24: (a) Must Offerors register for an account on CEDARS in order to access EE submissions data?**

**(b) If not, would Offerors have a need to register for an account on CEDARS at all?**

Answer 24: (a) No, EE submissions data is available to all users whether registered or not.

(b) Registration is only needed to use the Cost Effectiveness Tool (CET), which is not a requirement of the RFA. CET inputs and outputs will be required of Offerors who are invited to participate in the RFP.

**Question 25: Will the CPUC need to approve any Offers that SCE selects as a result of the Solicitation shortlist?**

Answer 25: Per Ordering Paragraph 2 of CPUC Decision 18-01-004, the IOUs are required to file a Tier 2 advice letter for each third-party contract, or a batch of third-party contracts, that are valued at \$5 million or more and/or with a term of longer than three years, for Commission review and approval.

**Question 26: What version of the Cost Effectiveness Tool (CET) should I be using?**

Answer 26: CET Desktop Tool is not approved for submission. Please use the CET available on <https://cedars.sound-data.com> in order to run CET analysis. You must use "2020" for both "First Year" and "Avoided Cost."

**Question 27: How can I stay informed regarding the RFA/RFP?**

Answer 27: Once RFA has been released, the easiest way to keep up is to register for the Ariba event. Once you have been added to the event, you will receive regular solicitation updates (via the Ariba Message Board), view all documents, and receive important notifications.

**Question 28: What are the key deadlines for the RFA/RFP?**

Answer 28: Please see the schedule listed in the RFA/RFP instructions.

**Question 29: How do I learn about the website functions?**

Answer 29: Help can be found in the Ariba help function in the upper right corner of the Ariba screen and by calling 1-866-218-2155.